

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/621,060	07/21/2000		Dennis K. Branstad	NAI1P078/99.042.02	4286
28875	7590	12/09/2004		EXAMINER	
Zilka-Kotal P.O. BOX 7	•		HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E		
SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			•	2134	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/621,060	BRANSTAD ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew Heneghan	2134
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Matthew Heneghan.	(3)	·
(2) <u>Kevin Zilka</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 December 2004	Time: 4:00 PM EST	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: n/a		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
GREGORY MORSE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100		
(Examiner/SP/E Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was made to Agent Zilka with respect to the status of the pending application. A Final Rejection was mailed by the Office on 4 June 2004, and an after-final amendment was filed on 18 June 2004. Though the Examiner agreed that the amendment appears to place the application in condition of allowance, no Notice of Allowability has yet been mailed to the Applicant. The Examiner has not been able to determine the reason for this delay.